

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023 I www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/619,531	0	07/19/2000	Dr. Werner Groh	032745-023	2261
21839	7590	04/10/2002			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P				EXAMINER	
	ST OFFICE BOX 1404 EXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	М
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary



Application No. Applicant(s)

09/619,531

Groh et al.

Examiner

Ula Corinna Ruddock

Art Unit 1771



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
<ul> <li>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 1:	9, 2000
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-26</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	∋r.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are objected to by the Examiner.
	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the International *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the priori application	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	

Application/Control Number: 09/619,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a laminate of two or more layers, classified in class 442, subclass 1+.
  - II. Claims 16-26, drawn to a method for the production of laminates having two or more layers, classified in class 28, subclass 107.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by another process, i.e., by coextruding the woven web and nonwoven web through a die.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to lurie Schwartz on March 19, 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/619,531

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ula C. Ruddock
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1771
April 8, 2002